

Rocky Robbins

From: Swedberg Family <sarsapkin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2019 3:14 PM
To: Rocky Robbins
Subject: EIS Scoping Comments

To Whom It May Concern:

The following issues, from my perspective, need to be addressed:

1. Cost to the county and resident taxpayers to provide services, e.g., fire, law enforcement, public works, EMS, etc., to new developments
2. Incentivize home and building construction that is fire resistant
3. Incentivize keeping areas around homes and outbuildings from fuel accumulation
4. Incentivize home, outbuilding construction outside of potential flood zones, stream meander zones, identified earth slippage zones, etc.
5. Incentivize communities to act collectively in minimizing liabilities, caused by individuals, to the community
6. Develop long term solutions for long term problems, e.g., proper placement of roads, homes, infrastructure, etc. Perpetual washouts of roads are a high cost to the county and perhaps should be located away from stream channels or adequate structures installed to allow for potential mass wasting and floods, e.g, properly placed larger culverts or bridges.

When "improvements" on the land are lost in a fire or any other natural disaster, the property taxes are dropped accordingly, thus the county and local tax districts are shorted on projected income and to meet the projected budget other property taxes need to be increased.

Every time a large parcel of land is subdivided and sold followed by home construction there is an increased burned to the county for road maintenance, police protection, fire protection, EMS, etc. for a net increase costs to everybody and quite often the peron(s) who developed the land don't live in the county and don't pay "developed" property taxes - the local taxpayers pick up the tab.

Dale Swedberg
P.O. Box 746
470 Hwy 7
Tonasket, WA 98855

509.429-7481
sarsapkin@yahoo.com