

Attachment 5: Review of Population and Building Assumptions

Attachment 5—As the comments pointed out appendix 10 in the DEIS contained some errors. The corrections were included in the updated information in attachment 4 to the comments and include the following:

Incorporated population 16,395 40%

Unincorporated population 24,805 60% (a higher figure was also used to look at potential increased demand for rural lands)

Rural high densities areas 80% 19,844

Rural resource low density areas 20% 4,961

Population per household 2.5

Revised column G new homes in the County area 2010-2014 per population changes in attachment 4

(recalculated per notes at the head of the column and suggestive of average growth based on population growth) .

Oroville	21
Tonasket	42
Omak	5
Nesp/gc	1
Okanogan	2
Brewster	5
Pateros	6
Methow	2
Total	84 permanent homes
Vacation homes	50
Totals	134 new homes attributable to county areas 2010-2014 based on historic population data and averages

Anticipated range of new homes to be constructed annually based on the assumptions above including a possible doubling in any one district at a given time column H 23-46

An alternated approach to determine a range or order of magnitude of is enclosed as an attachment The purpose of the calculations is not to provide an exact estimate of building activity, but rather a reasonable range of alternatives to be evaluated to determine is any reasonable range of alternatives would suggest a probable significant impact—none did

The totals reflect the estimated rate of development county wide 2016-2021 with a range of variation within districts and considering an upper end risk of 190 units, depending on the economy and factors which affect migration at either the past and patterns of growth does no indicate a risk of a significant environmental impact as a consequence of the zoning