



## **Airport Technical Advisory Committee**

Commissioners' Hearing Room

September 12th, 2012

9:00 am

Those in attendance: Daren Belsby, Kurt Danision, Leroy Orr, Jerry Hendricks, and Ralph Malone.

Okanogan County Planning staff present: Director of Planning Perry Huston and Planner 1 Dalana Potter.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00am by Perry Huston, Okanogan County Director of Planning and Natural Resources.

The meeting began with a review of the agenda for this meeting which is to continue reviewing the policy, clarify wildlife attractant, and to review the process for adoption.

Suggested revisions were continued from the Height section on and include the following:

- An illustration of FAA's sloped height limitations was suggested
- Zone 1 Runway was changed to Zone 1 Airport Operations
- Commercial and light industrial were added to permitted uses for Zone 1
- Zone 1 Density was changed to allow further subdivision of land for commercial uses
- Zone 2 Approach was revised to Approach/Departure
- Zone 2 Permitted uses was edited to remove aviation related development such as hangars, taxiways, offices, and others listed
- Zone 2 and Zone 3 Densities were changed to allow subdivision of lands 5 acres or greater from 10 acres
- Zone 3 Transition Purpose section was revised to specify what aviation operations occur and the effect they cause
- Zone 3 Permitted uses was edited to omit landing strips
- Setbacks need review with attention to height and general prohibitions
- The administrative review process will be cut, but details will walk over to the Site Analysis process
- Similarly, the Airport operations notification process will be revised to be included as a requirement in the Site Analysis or with a Building Permit
- The exclusion of cereal grain production and processing has been added to Zones 1-3 Permitted uses

Discussion about clearly defining “wildlife attractant” was important. Key elements of the definition are specifying what “wildlife” includes, defining why the use is an attractant, and clarifying this applies to uses that attract wildlife to an extent that exceeds the level naturally occurring in the immediate vicinity.

Before the meeting concluded, we outlined the timeline towards adoption. Next meeting will solidify a draft ready for review by cities. Cities will create a resolution with a recommendation of approval. Next, the Planning Commission will review of draft in a study session to clarify any questions and address any concerns prior to public hearings. SEPA will be completed. Lastly, the draft will go to the Board of County Commissioners for the adoption process.

The meeting adjourned at 10:55am. The next meeting is scheduled for September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2012 in the Commissioners’ Hearing Rm of the Grainger Bldg.

Respectfully submitted,  
Dalana Potter  
Planner I